

GRF Board Special Session

JANUARY 2, 1:30 P.M., ADMINISTRATION CONFERENCE ROOM

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. Pledge of Allegiance
- 4. President's Comments
- Shareholder/Member Comments
- Business
 - a. Finalization of 2015 Budget Revisions (Ms. Stone) to be forwarded on 12/29/14
 - b. Proposed By-law Amendment/Funding (Mr. Craig) attached
 - c. Final approval of Policy 1925-37, Traffic Rules and Regulations (Mr. McGuigan) attached
 - d. 2014 Capital Carryover (Ms. Stone) to be forwarded on 12/29/14

NOTE: Shareholder/Foundation members are permitted to make comments <u>before</u> the business of the Board begins. Requests must be registered in advance of the meeting, and comments are limited to <u>four</u> minutes.

- a. Board Member Comments
- b. Executive Session
- c. Adjournment



BOARD ACTION REQUEST

TO:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM:

FINANCE COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: FINALIZATION OF THE 2015 BUDGET REVISIONS

DATE:

JANUARY 2, 2015

CC:

FILE

At the December 16, 2014 special meeting of the Board of Directors, it was unanimously approved to renew the Workers' Compensation Insurance (WCI) with Cypress/Berkshire at substantial savings to the approved 2015 annual budget. The savings is sufficient enough to absorb the \$3.15 increase in assessments for 2015.

The WCI expense budget included in the annual 2015 budget that was approved by the Board of Directors as its meeting on September 23, 2014 was based on information provided in June 2014, at the time of the budget planning process, from our broker noting a worst case WCI scenario:

- A higher experience modification rating (Ex-Mod),
- A possible increase in classification rates within the market,
- The large number of open claims as of June 2014.

The actual WCI premium for 2015 is \$299,183 less than the initial approved 2015 budget amount due to the following:

- The Executive Director established a detailed rigorous safety program supported by managers and supervisors who continuously bring awareness of safety in the workplace to all staff. Staff's active participation in the program resulted in an over 70% decrease in new claims for 2014.
- The results of staff's active participation in the safety program, the reduction in the number of new claims this year and two major prior

claims closing this year allowed more bargaining power for DLD Insurance Brokers, Inc. to perform its due diligence to secure the lowest WCI premium rates,

 The payroll calculation rate for the 9066 classification code (Home Owner Associations) dropped overall in the market.

At the December 19, 2014 regular meeting of the Board of Directors, it was unanimously approved to reduce the monthly 2015 Golden Rain Foundation assessment by \$3.15, effective February 1, 2015. Exhibit A is a finalized summary of the revised budget showing the effect of the reduction.

I move to approve the revised 2015 annual budget reflecting a decrease of \$3.15 in the monthly GRF assessment effective February 1, 2015 as finalized in Exhibit A.

			CIL D.	TC -	and atten					
Revised 201		Golden Rain Foundation 15 Operating Budget - Revised					Revised			
- Commission of the Commission								2015		201
			2015		2015	2015	-	2015		201
Account		Title	Rev. Budget	1	January	Feb - Dec	(rig. Budget		Varianc
Salaries, V	Vages and Bene					0 (554.200	•	7 220 016	•	26,033
61000	Salaries and wa	iges	\$ 7,365,949	\$	611,660	\$ 6,754,289	\$		\$	20,033
61010	Commissions		34,517		2,876	31,641	-	34,517		
61100	Pay For Perform	nance Provision	36,872		3,073	33,799	-	36,872		1.00
61400	Payroll Taxes		614,690		51,058	563,632	-	612,698		1,992
61420	Workers' Comp	ensation	571,711	_	69,167	502,544	-	829,999		(258,288
61430	Group Insurance	e - Medical	692,654		57,721	634,933	-	692,654		-
61433	Group Insurance	e - Dental	16,195		1,350	14,845		16,195		
61435	Group Insurance	e - Vision	9,754		813	8,941	_	9,754		- 1 041
61440	Retirement Cor	ntributions	175,646		14,550	161,096	1	174,605		1,041
61450	Group Insurance	e - Life, AD & D	27,033		2,243	24,790		26,915		118
61460	Long Term Dis	ability	31,601		2,622	28,979		31,463	_	138
Total Sala	ries, Wages and		\$ 9,576,622	\$	817,133	\$ 8,759,489	\$	9,805,588	\$	(228,966
Operating	and Fixed Expe	enses:								
621XX	Employee Rela		\$ 64,649	\$	5,387	\$ 59,262		64,649		-
64100	Materials & Su		399,498		33,292	366,206		399,498		-
64200	Electricity		362,985		30,249	332,736		362,985		-
64240	Trash		69,700		5,808	63,892		69,700		-
64260	Natural Gas		26,625		2,219	24,406		26,625		-
64280	Telephone		84,858		7,072	77,786		84,858		-
64300	Management Fo	ees	-		-	-		-		-
64320	Audit Fees		116,004		9,667	106,337		116,004		-
64340	Legal Fees		144,100		12,008	132,092		144,100		-
64350	Bank Service F	ees	7,830		653	7,177		7,830		-
64380	Other Profession		7,500		625	6,875		7,500		-
64440	Equipment Ren		27,879		2,323	25,556		27,879		_
64700		pairs & Maintenance	56,520	1	4,710	51,810		56,520		-
64701	Equipment Rep		17,000		1,417	15,583		17,000		-
64720		rs & Maintenance	25,833	1	2,153	23,680		25,833		-
	Janitorial	18 & Maintenance	1,026,725	-	85,560	941,165		1,026,725		-
64725		ntononoo	299,971		24,998	274,973		299,971		-
64730	Landscape Mai Newspaper Dis		97,300		8,108	89,192		97,300		-
64740	1 1		77,500	+	0,100			-		-
64750	Employee Recr			+		_		-		-
64763	Bridge Inspecto		109,790		9,149	100,641		109,790		-
64780		ntenance & Software	2,250	-	188	2,062		2,250		_
64785	Record Retenti		30,376	1	2,531	27,845	-	30,376		-
64800	Uniforms & La				1,572	17,288	-	18,860		-
64810	Continuing Edu	ucation	18,860	+	906	9,966	-	10,872		-
64820	Mileage	15	10,872	-		19,158	-	20,900		-
64821	Meals & Specia		20,900	-	1,742 1,577	17,343		18,920		
64830	Dues & Membe		18,920	-		-	-	10,900	1-	-
64832	Annual Mailou		10,900	-	908	9,992	-	25,273	-	-
64840	Permits & Lice	enses	25,273	-	2,106	23,167	-	37,000	-	
64860	Postage		37,000	-	3,083	33,917	-			
64870	Vehicle Fuel		96,025	-	8,002	88,023	-	96,025	-	
64871	Mini-bus Fuel		30,000		2,500	27,500	+	30,000	+-	0.00
64890		& Misc Writeoffs	7,900		658	7,242	-	7,900	-	-
64930	Printing		150,000		12,500	137,500	-	150,000	-	
64931	Telephone/Nav	vigator Books Printing	27,300		2,275	25,025		27,300		

			Gold	en Rain	For	undation			(Povi	66	- b
Revised 201		15 Operating Budget - Revised							Revised			
			Effect	ive Febr	uai	ry 1, 2015	3		_			
	and the second s							****	-	2015		2015
				2015		2015	-	2015	_			Variance
Account		Title	Re	v. Budget		January		Feb - Dec	U	rig. Budget		Variance
Operating	and Fixed Exp	enses: (continued)					-	00.015	-	00.536		
64940	Service Contra	cts		98,526		8,211	-	90,315	_	98,526		
64960	Community En	tertainment		241,875		20,156		221,719	_	241,875		
64970	Street Repair a	nd Maintenance		26,767		2,231		24,536	-	26,767		-
64975	Sewer Mainten	ance		28,615		2,385	-	26,230	-	28,615		-
64985	Hazardous Ma	terial Disposal		4,211		351		3,860	-	4,211		-
64995	Election Exper	ise		55,000		4,583		50,417	-	55,000		-
66255	Pre-employmen	nt Exams		-		-		-	-	16.500		**
67200	Federal & State	e Income tax		16,500		1,375		15,125	-	16,500		-
67300	Hazard & Liab	ility Insurance		462,250		38,521		423,729	-	462,250		-
81000	Depreciation &	Amortization		-		-		-	_			•
81600	Committee No	n-Budgeted Expense		8,000		667		7,333		8,000		•
81610	Replacement R	Reserve Funding	1	,000,000		83,333		916,667	_	1,000,000	-	
	rating and Fixe	d Expense	\$ 5	,373,087	\$	447,759	\$ 4	,925,328	\$	5,373,087	\$	
•												
Total Cost	of Trust Admi	nistration										(220.0//
20000		Sources of Income	\$ 14	,949,709	\$	1,264,892	\$ 13	,684,817	\$	15,178,675	\$	(228,966
Other Inco	me Sources:											
53300	Mutual Manag	ement Fee	\$	44,400	\$	3,700	\$	40,700	\$	44,400	\$	-
53450		p Fees - Escrow		157,500		13,125		144,375		157,500		-
53600		p Fees - Non-Escrow		30,000		2,500		27,500		30,000		-
TBD	Trust processin			40,625		3,385		37,240		40,625		•
53850	Permit Fees &			221,019		18,418		202,601		221,019		-
59600	SRO Labor Co			,287,960		107,330	1	,180,630		1,287,960		•
53950		s & Other Fees		32,778		2,732		30,046		32,778		-
53950	Resales Office			355,000		29,583		325,417		355,000		-
53950	Health Care C			564,000		47,000		517,000		564,000		-
53960	Taxable Other			6,704		559		6,145		6,704		21
	Taxable Other			75,000		6,250		68,750		75,000		-
53961			-	840,000		70,000		770,000	T	840,000		-
59105	Display & Inse	Navigator Books		117,250		9,771		107,479	1	117,250		-
59455				54,000		4,500		49,500		54,000		-
59300	Classified Adv	verusing		36,900	+	3,075		33,825		36,900		-
55100	Interest Incom	a Allocation		50,700	+-	-		-		-		-
55111	Interest Incom	e Allocation		(1,125)		(94)		(1,031)		(1,125)		-
55400	Discounts	w Courses	\$	3,862,011	\$	321,834	\$ 3	3,540,177	\$		\$	-
Total Inco	me From Othe	er Sources	Φ	,002,011	Ψ	J21,0J1	-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
	NI A CO - A - COO	west Administration	¢ 1	1,087,698	\$	943,058	\$ 10	0,144,640	\$	11,316,664	\$	(228,960
	Net Cost of T	rust Administration	\$ 1	1,007,090	Φ	743,030	\$,,,,,,,,,,,	=	, -,		
								1				
	Monthly Asso	essment	\$	139.83	\$	142.71	\$	139.56	\$	142.71	\$	(2.89

MEMORANDUM

TO:

GRF Board of Directors

From:

Denis Craig, GRF Director Mutual 11

Cc:

R. Ankeny, GRF Exec. Director, & C. Weller, Mutual Admin. Mgr.

Date:

December 26, 2014

Subject:

Cost savings to be obtained from By-Law change

The GRF BOD will meet Friday, January 2, 2015. At this meeting a request will be made (on behalf of several members of the GRF BOD) to set-aside sufficient monies from accumulated GRF 2014 favorable budget variances to fund the legal and administrative costs associated with obtaining a vote of GRF Shareholders, to a single, specific, GRF By-Law change.

On October 28, 2014, and again on Tuesday Dec. 23, 2014, cost savings analysis was were distributed showing conservative estimates of approx. \$140,000 savings can be secured by this single, specific By-Law. The saving estimate did not include inflation factors nor include an additional \$14,175.08, which lawfully would have to be spent for a final year 2044, directorship vote under current By-Laws. To date, no written challenge to the cost savings analysis has been produced to argue the proposed savings are not properly analyzed. These savings are real and the fiduciary duty of Directors is to secure any such savings which can be gained from a 'procedural' change which does not, in any way, diminish the ability of the Board to perform it's duties.

It's fair to say the underestimated savings to be secured could be as much as \$158,868.20 (all without inflation factors) less costs associated with securing the By-Law change. Based on the cost history to secure the 20 year extension to the GRF Trust, and the experiences of mutuals 10 & 11, to secured By-Law changes this year to the terms of service for their directors, the funding request will be bound by a "not-to-exceed" amount of \$75K.

The request to secure this cost savings is exceptionally narrow; it's solely limited to securing set aside funds of not more than \$75K. The funding request does not, and may not, lawfully include argumentation of whether or not this proposed By-Law change is either good or bad. Corp. Code §7150 'Bylaws; Manner of Adoption, Amendment, or Repeal' completely governs this matter. Only GRF Shareholders are empowered to decide this matter. Statute and case law is clear in this regard, the Board is "estopped" from deciding the matter. The right to this decision is the sole and exclusive right of the Shareholders and the Board must not violate this lawful boundary. All will be able to 'chime-in' at the appropriate time and ultimately cast a Shareholder vote on the matter. Right now the Board's sole duty is to provide the opportunity for Shareholders to secure the savings evident in this matter.

Calculations to estimate the amount of savings to be obtained from a 3 year GRF Director election cycle

dc093014

Assumptions used in this estimate of savings

Source: The basis of 2014 cost of GRF Elections is the GRF Report "2014 Election Expenses - GL# 64995-33 Leisure World Seal Beach consists of 6,608 Units (which comprise the GRF Voters)

of Units \$ Costs Cost per Unit

3,132

\$ 14,175.08

\$ 4.53

GRF Directors from "even numbered" Mutuals were elected in year 2014, representing 3,132 units

The 2014 GRF Election Costs were:

The 2014 GRF Election cost per Unit:

6,608 \$ 29,934.24 \$ 4.53

Cost/unit used to estimate Σ cost of election for all 16 Mutuals (3,132 even # units & 3,476 odd # units) All things equal, Σ cost GRF Elections for 16 Mutuals for the current 2 year cycle are assumed to be:

\$ 29,934.24

For a 3-year cycle of GRF Director term (6 directors per year for a 3-year cycle) the full cycle cost is assumed:

\$ 29,934.24

Known: In 2014 there are 30 years remaining to the life of the GRF TRUST.

Cost saving based on introduction of 3-year GRF Director election cycle in 2016 are calculated to be:

Calendar	Trust years	Annual cost of current 2	Estimated cost of new 3			
Year remaining		year (odd/even) cycle	year election cycle			
2016	28	\$ 14,175.08				
2017	27	\$ 15,759.16	\$ 29,934.24			
2018	26	\$ 14,175.08				
2019	25	\$ 15,759.16				
2020	24	\$ 14,175.08	\$ 29,934.24			
2021	23	\$ 15,759.16				
2022	22	\$ 14,175.08				
2023	21	\$ 15,759.16	\$ 29,934.24			
2024	20	\$ 14,175.08				
2025	19					
2026	18	\$ 14,175.08	\$ 29,934.24			
2027	17	\$ 15,759.16				
2028	16	\$ 14,175.08				
2029	15	\$ 15,759.16	\$ 29,934.24			
2030	14	\$ 15,759.16 \$ 14,175.08 \$ 15,759.16 \$ 14,175.08 \$ 15,759.16 \$ 14,175.08				
2031	13	\$ 15,759.16				
2032	12	\$ 14,175.08	\$ 29,934.24			
2033	11	\$ 14,175.08 \$ 15,759.16 \$ 14,175.08 \$ 15,759.16 \$ 14,175.08 \$ 15,759.16				
2034	10	\$ 14,175.08				
2035	9	\$ 15,759.16	\$ 29,934.24			
2036	8	\$ 14,175.08				
2037	7	\$ 15,759.16				
2038	6	\$ 14,175.08	\$ 29,934.24			
2039	5	\$ 15,759.16				
2040	4	\$ 14,175.08				
2041	3	\$ 15,759.16	\$ 29,934.24			
2042	2	\$ 14,175.08				
2043	1	\$ 15,759.16 \$ 14,175.08 \$ 15,759.16	\$ 9,978.08			
2044	0	\$ -				
Summar	y of costs	\$ 419,079.36	\$ 279,386.24			

Minimum Savings to be gained from 3-year GRF Election Cycle \$ 139,693.12



BOARD ACTION REQUEST

TO:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM:

STEVE MCGUIGAN, GRF BOARD DIRECTOR

SUBJECT:

POLICY CORRESPONDENCE

DATE:

DECEMBER 23, 2014

CC:

FILE

At its meeting on November 25, 2014, the Board voted to tentatively adopt and post the following policy:

Policy 1925-37, Traffic Rules and Regulations (see attached Exhibit A)

When the GRF Board of Directors considers a change in an operating rule, Shareholders/Foundation members are allotted a thirty (30) day review and comment period and are welcome to comment on the issue at a Board meeting or may submit their written comments to the Board Office in accordance with Civil Code §4360(a).

Three (3) written comments were received from Shareholders/Members regarding Policy 1925-37, Traffic Rules and Regulations (see attached Exhibits B, C and D).

I move to approve Policy 1925-37.

COMMUNITY OPERATIONS

VEHICLE AND PEDESTRIAN CODE

Traffic Rules and Regulations - Enforcement on Trust Property

2.2 Handicapped person placard display violations may be dismissed if a Handicapped person placard identification valid at the time of the citation is presented to the Security Department.

3.0 PENALTIES

3.1 The Security Department shall issue citations and keep records of the number and type of violations in any rolling six (6) month period. If two (2) violations have been issued to the same driver in a six (6) month period, notification shall be sent to the appropriate department with the required method of compliance as outlined below.

SHAREHOLDERS and MEMBERS have the right to contest within thirty (30) days of the date of the violation. A hearing will be scheduled, with the SHAREHOLDER receiving at least ten (10) days prior written notice, at which the Shareholder may contest before penalties are imposed.

3.2 For SHAREHOLDERS, MEMBERS, AND RESIDENTS, Security will issue the appropriate correspondence after two (2) violations. This first notification letter shall require completion of the AARP Safe Driver Class or other equivalent driver education training offered. Certificate of completion will be submitted to the Security Department.

If there is no compliance within sixty (60) calendar days, or if the violator deemed a repeat offender (defined as three (3) or more violations within a consecutive twelve (12) month period) or possible risk to the community, a second letter will be sent by first class mail to the SHAREHOLDER, MEMBER, OR RESIDENT calling the SHAREHOLDER OR MEMBER to a hearing. The Hearing Panel may determine, in its discretion, to impose one or more of the following disciplinary measures and shall provide the member with written notice of its decision within fifteen (15) days following the action:

a. The resident decal may be revoked and removed unless and until proof of compliance is presented to the Security Department.

Dear Mr. Lukoff:

I am writing to you regarding the proposed traffic regulations that will be on the agenda for discussion at the January GRF meeting. I have read the proposed regulations thoroughly and submit they are not in a condition to be adopted. They are a violation of substantive and procedural due process is that they are vague and there is no clear procedure for review.

Let me review some of the defects.

First, the organization of the resolution is poorly structured and should be reorganized. It should begin, as most statures do, with definitions that are used throughout the resolution.

Section 1.1 states that "select provisions of the most current California Vehicle Code (CVC) apply, yet there is no reference to specific provisions, much less a reference to applicable chapters. The vehicle code cover a multitude of topics from vehicle weight, local parking restrictions, speed on public highways, and licensing, but we have no idea which provisions were "selected". Note that the resolution purports to apply to pedestrians, bicycles and motorized vehicles. Are electric carts "motorized" vehicles? They may not be under the CVC. What provisions governing pedestrians and bicycles apply? Without knowing what restrictions apply to the users of the LW properties, frankly a first year law student would know that these regulations are a violation of due process and probably arbitrary and capricious.

Further, there is no specific definition to what LW property these regulations apply. You mentioned they apply to GRF trust property, but they apply to caregivers, vendors, and visitors who have no idea what constitutes GRF trust property, and I venture many residents would be unable to identify it.

The Vehicle Code sections that will be enforced should be enumerated by their statutory number. The resolution should state how the offender will be cited, i.e. under the CVC or by Resolution number (referring to the CVC, possibly).

Section 2.0 provides that "citations for moving violations, when possible, will be handed to the violator." The phrase "moving violation" is vague, and, again, reference should be made to the use of CVC to define violations. In addition, "when possible" defines are area of judgment or the use of discretion and the condition of "when possible" should be outline to avoid the "abuse of discretion" and guide security when a violator may be handed a citation at the time of the violation. Moreover, the language of the resolution (first sentence) implies that the citation can be handed to one other than the violator. I assume an instance would be the employer of a driver of a commercial vehicle. However, that is unsaid and should be defined.

Again 2.1 includes parking, so the drafter has included two different violations in the same paragraph, causing a problem in issuing the citation? Is the section a violation of traffic or parking rules? Further, why does the violator have to be known to issue a traffic citation? Isn't attaching the citation to the windshield customary? Why would a parking citation be issued if the violator were in the vehicle? Why does the violator have to be known to issue the citation? Because of certain limitation, we just may have to do the best we can regarding parking citations. Will parking regs apply to oversize vehicles?

Section 2.1.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.3 et seq should be in an employee handbook or some other document. Internal employee procedures have no place in a traffic enforcement document. I would imagine that somewhere in the vast literature of LW regulations or employee rules is a simple provision

14DEC14

Board of Directors c/o Deanna Bennett Golden Rain Foundation Leisure World

John Hlavac 13470 St. Andrews Dr. #8C Seal Beach, CA 90740

To the Board:

I would like to address the proposed Policy 1925-37 - Traffic enforcement on Trust property. The proposed rules make the Security Department both the judge and jury of enforcement. There is no oversight of the Security Department. I believe that this will lead to constant problems in the future.

I have had problems with the Security Department in the past. On 16MAR14 my resident sticker was removed by a rogue officer while I was parked in my parking spot. No pre-notification, no warning, nothing. One day I went out to go grocery shopping and found the attached hand-written note attached to my car. This was not some Security Department enforcement action, rather the actions of one officer who resented that I was parking two spaces down from him. What he didn't know was that the rightful user of the spot had encouraged me to feel free to use her spot because I would occasionally take her to dances.

So naturally, I filed a complaint with the Security Department and met with its head Jaime Guerrero. It was explained to me that the resident sticker was property of Leisure World and it could be removed at any time at the Security Department's discretion. My crime was that although I had permission from the rightful user of the parking spot that I was using, I had not formalized the arrangement with the mutual. Basically a technical violation of the rules that should have be handled with a warning. Apparently, the security officer was acting on his own authority. There were no repercussions for the officer involved. I was told quite clearly that the officer had the Security Department's 100% backing (Jaime Guerrero's words). I believe to this day that Leisure World has vandalized my vehicle for no good reason, and that the Security Department is a law unto itself. We have a real problem here at Leisure World. Although this happened almost a year ago, I am still red hot about this issue.

I believe the problem is systemic and can only be cured by proper oversight. Enforcement personnel need accountability. By that, I mean that the workings of the Security Department need to be reviewed by an impartial committee that would serve to rein in the excesses of a department that is otherwise answerable to no one. The Board of Directors is a policy making body and as such cannot and should not attempt this important function. Besides, from what I've seen here in Leisure World, too much is demanded of the volunteers that sit on the Board of Directors. The Security Department is the enforcement body. Where is the body doing the review? Checks and balances is still a smart thing to do. It was smart in 1789 when the American constitution was written and it's still smart, even at the local level.

To whom it may concern:

This letter is in regard to the new driving rules in Golden Rain at Leisure World Seal Beach, CA

I have read in the local newspaper the:

Notice of violations 2.0 citations for moving violations when possible will be handed to the violator in person by the citing security officer. Citations for parking violations when violator is unknown will be attached to the vehicle in an appropriate and secure manner.

Penalties

3.1

The security department shall issue citations and keep records of the number and type of violations in any rolling 6 months period. If two violations have been issued to the same driver in a six month period notification shall be sent to the appropriate department with the required method of compliance as outlined below.

Shareholders and members have a right to contest within thirty days of the date of violation. A hearing will be scheduled with the shareholder receiving at least 10 days prior written notice at which the shareholder may contest before penalties are imposed

3.2 for shareholders members and residents security will issue the appropriate correspondence after two violations. This first notification letter shall require completion of AARP safe driver class or other equivalent driver education training offered. Certificate of completion will be submitted in the security department

If there is no compliance within sixty calendar days or if the violator is deemed a repeat offender defined as three or more violations within a consecutive twelve months period or possible risk to the community a second letter will be sent by first class mail to the shareholder, member or resident calling the shareholder or member to a hearing. The hearing panel may determine in its discretion to impose one or more of the following disciplinary measures and shall provide the member with written notice of its decision within fifteen days following the action.



BOARD ACTION REQUEST

TO:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM:

FINANCE COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: 2014 CAPITAL CARRYOVER TO 2015

DATE:

JANUARY 2, 2015

CC:

FILE

After the 2014 financial statements have been finalized, funds in the Trust Improvement and Capital Improvement fund accounts will be restructured into two new funds: Reserve Fund and Capital Improvement Fund. The Reserve Fund will be used for the repairs and replacement of existing components, whereas the Capital Improvement Fund will be used to purchase new components. The Capital Improvement Fund will be funded by a percentage of membership fees collected in accordance with Policy 5061-31.

At its meeting held on December 16, 2014, the Finance Committee discussed the need to carry over funds from the 2014 capital budget to finance various projects still in progress at year-end and for projects that were approved by the Board of Directors in 2014 that have not yet been started.

The committee appointed Linda Stone, Treasurer, to choose one additional committee member to discuss with the Controller the appropriate amount of funds to carry over to 2015. Identified funds are to be used for the following types of projects:

- A specific budgeted project already in progress but will not be complete before 2014 year-end,
- 2014 budgeted projects that have not been started yet in 2014 but will be completed early 2015,
- New projects approved by the Board of Directors in 2014 that are to be funded with reallocated unused funds from completed 2014 budgeted projects.

Exhibit B contains a list of projects falling within the above criteria totaling \$1,841,538. The Finance Committee requests approval from the Board to move these funds to the Capital Improvement Fund when established in 2015 to finance the projects listed in Exhibit B. After the completion of each of these projects, any unused funds will be transferred to the Reserve Fund.

I move that funds in the amount of \$1,841,538 be carried forward to the 2015 Capital Improvement Fund to be used for the projects listed in Exhibit B and that any leftover funds from these projects be transferred to the Reserve Fund upon completion of the project.

Capital Projects Carryover from Prior Year

Department	Dept CC	Description			Total	
Administration	30	Admin Building Remodel 2014 (Lighting) (ARB2014)	\$	1,000	\$1,000	
Purchasing	32	Barcode Label Printer	\$	1,000	\$1,000	
ITS	34 34	Jenark System* Surveillance Camera Extension	\$ 6	52,080 1,000	\$63,080	*\$28,854 due on contract; 33,226 remainin
Security	37	Automated Pedestrian Gate Unused funds from 2013 Capital Carryover for CH4 - Tile Replacement Total Allocated to Automated Pedestrian Gate	\$ 1	5,000	\$15,000	
	37	4 Visitor Admissions Printers	\$	4,000	\$4,000	
	37	Portable Security Cameras for Outdoor Use	\$	1,435	\$1,435	
Community Facilities	79	Resales Office Remodel Unused funds from 2014 Capital Carryover for Admin Bldg Remodel Unused funds from 2014 Capital Carryover for Master Planning Unused funds from 2014 Capital Carryover for Hot Pool Shade	1 7	16,000 50,000 4,000	\$70,000	
	79 79	Remaining committment on Wall Section R (591-01B-R) Remaining Cmmittments on Wall Section A (591-01B-A) Allocated to Wall Sections J & K (591-01B-JK)* Westminster Wall Section L & M/Engineering (668-11B) Total Allocated to Perimeter Walls	\$ 34	10,156 41,850 02,900 23,475	\$1,054,906 \$23,475	*Dantuma bid \$495K; plus contingency
	79	Landscape Improvement - Canoe Brook/El Dorado (713-14) *	\$	26,777	\$26,777	*Annual budget \$40K; release \$13,223
	79 79 79 79 79 79	Trust Street Paving Project In-Road Lighting Plan - St. Andrews - Lighted Crosswalk (702-13) St. Andrews Improvement @ Tam O'Shanter (721-14) Street Painting (708-14-PS) St. Andrews Gate Improvements (723-14)* Del Monte Drive Removal & Replacement (722-14) Knollwood Removal & Replacement (720-14)	\$ \$ \$ \$	80,750 59,463 56,494 50,000 54,234 15,544 4,380		*Under committee review; \$50 is estimate
	79 79	Bridge Repairs @ Burning Tree & Del Monte (3361-14P) Pharmacy Pedestrian Circulation and Ramp Design (710-14)*		50,000	\$470,865	*Project is out to bid; \$50k is estimate
	79 79	Re-roofing Community Facilities Buildings - CH1 (711-14) Paint Front Gate Security Building (716-14)* Unused funds from 2014 Capital Carryover for 2014 Trust Street Paving Total Paint Front Gate Security Building	\$ \$	90,000 7,000 8,000	\$90,000 \$15,000	*Pending contract approval
	79	Paint Exterior Resales Building (718-14)*	\$	5,000	\$5,000	*Contract plus contingency
		TOT	AL		\$1,841,538	